The Impact of Gender, Age, Years of Experience, Education Level, and Position Type on Job Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment: An Exploratory Study in the Kingdom of Bahrain

Muath Eleswed, PhD

Assistant Professor of Management College of Business & Economics American University of Kuwait P.O. Box 3323, Safat 13034 Kuwait

Fatema Mohammed, MBA

Associate Value Specialist New York Institute of Technology Campus 851, Road 3828 Block 338 Adliya, P.O. Box 11287 Kingdom of Bahrain

Abstract

The purpose of this study was to explore the impact of the demographics key variables including gender, age, years of experience, education level, and position type on job satisfaction and organizational commitment. This study was conducted in a private financial institution in the Kingdom of Bahrain. One hundred and fifty six subjects participated in this study. Results revealed that the level of job satisfaction and organizational commitment varied among those demographics key variables. Based on the results, implications and direction for further studies were made.

Keywords: Gender, Age, Years of Experience, Education Level, Position Type, Job Satisfaction; Organizational Commitment; Kingdom of Bahrain

Introduction

Job satisfaction as a significant determinant of organizational commitment has been well documented in numerous studies (Porter *et al.*, 1974; Mottaz, 1987; Williams & Anderson, 1991; Vanderberg & Lance, 1992; Knoop, 1995; Young, Worchel & Woehr, 1998; Testa, 2001). Job Satisfaction and organizational commitment are two of the most prevalent work attitudes examined in the work and organizational literature. Hoppock (1935) defined job satisfaction as "a combination of psychological, physiological and environmental circumstances that causes a person to say: I'm satisfied with my job". Organizational commitment is an important topic in organizational behavior considering the large number of work that studied relationships between organizational commitment, attitudes and behaviors in the organization (Porter et al., 1974, 1976; Koch & Steers, 1978; Angle & Perry, 1981). Job satisfaction is considered as a reason for organizational commitment (Lincoln & Kalleberg, 1990; Mowday, Porter, & Steers, 1982; Mueller, Boyer, Price, & Iverson, 1994; Williams & Hazer, 1986).

The reverse is also applicable where organizational commitment is a cause to job satisfaction (Vandenberg & Lance, 1992). Finally, when an employee is satisfied with the job the employee becomes more committed to the organization and vice versa. In other words, job satisfaction can be measured and assessed by organizational commitment and vice versa (Porter et al., 1974; Mottaz, 1987; Williams & Anderson, 1991; Vanderberg & Lance, 1992; Knoop, 1995; Young, Worchel & Woehr, 1998; Testa, 2001). From an exploratory point of view, and based on the review of the above literature, there is a need for further investigation of this topic specifically in the private sector in Bahrain. Therefore, the purpose of this study is to explore the impact of certain demographics' key variables including gender, age, years of experience, education level, and position type on job satisfaction and organizational commitment.

Review of Related Literature

Job satisfaction definition, Factors Affecting Job Satisfaction, and Significance

According to George and Jones (2008), job satisfaction is "the collection of feelings and beliefs that people have about their current jobs. People's levels of job satisfaction can range from extreme satisfaction to extreme dissatisfaction" Others have defined job satisfaction as "a positive feeling about a job resulting from an evaluation of its characteristics" (Robbins & Judge, 2009, p. 83). Nelson and Quick (2009) defined it as "a pleasurable or positive emotional state resulting from the appraisal of one's job or job experiences". Hamermesh (2001) viewed job satisfaction as the employee's mental classification of job into objective and subjective characteristics.

However, Hirschfield (2000) stated, "Intrinsic job satisfaction is how people feel about the nature of the job tasks themselves, whereas extrinsic job satisfaction is how people feel about aspects of the work situation that are external to the job tasks or work itself". In the industrial psychology literature, job satisfaction is noted as the positive emotional level gained by the employee when the employee gets a job appraisal (Locke, 1976). While Hoppock (1935) who is one of the earliest researchers in job satisfaction defined it as "a combination of psychological, physiological and environmental circumstances that causes a person to say: I'm satisfied with my job". In terms of factors affecting job satisfaction, according to Freeman (1978) and Hamermesh (1977), employees' personal and job characteristics determine job satisfaction.

According to Sloane, Battu, and Seaman (1995), "highly educated employees are more likely to suffer from educational mismatch". Furthermore, the higher the level of education of employees is the lower their satisfaction (Clark, 1997). Although, having higher education raises the expectancy of having better job which leads to dissatisfaction and disappointment (Hagenaar, 1986; Ross & Reskin, 1992), Idson 1990 reports no significant effects of education level on overall job satisfaction. Comm and Mathaisel (2000) found that "job satisfaction is influenced by the level of pay and performance, employee benefits, training, recruiting, learning curve inefficiencies, reduction in the client base, job design, life satisfaction, autonomy, growth satisfaction, satisfaction with co-workers, satisfaction with supervisors and customer satisfaction". Further, job satisfaction is a cognitive and affective appraisal of a job viewed as a construct conceptualized in terms of beliefs and feelings regarding one's job as discussed by (Fassina, Jones & Uggerslev, 2008).

In terms of the significance of job satisfaction on organizations and employees, more satisfied employees will add more value to the organization (Herzberg, 1957). Past studies in Europe and the USA proposed that job performance is positively influenced by employees' job satisfaction (Freeman, 1978). Finally, Job satisfaction creates a pleasant feeling that directs to a positive work attitude. A satisfied employee is more likely to be creative, flexible, innovative and loyal. Furthermore, Job satisfaction can be used as a broad assessment of "an employee's attitudes of overall acceptance, contentment, and enjoyment in their work" (Lee-Kelley et al., 2007).

Studies Related to Job Satisfaction

According to the literature, the relationship between gender and job satisfaction is inconsistent. Some studies report that women are more satisfied. Souza-Poza (2003) found that women's satisfaction has declined substantially in the past decade, whereas men's job satisfaction has remained fairly constant.

As cited in Jinnett and Alexander (1999), Coward, Hogan, Duncan, Horne, Hiker and Felsen, (1995) state that female employees demonstrate higher levels of job satisfaction than male employees across most work. Many studies involving several different populations support this argument (Lambert et al., 2001; Loscocco, 1990; Ma & Macmillan, 1999). On the other hand, other studies found no significant difference between the genders (Bruning & Snyder, 1983; Quinn, Staines, & McCullough 1974; Mortimer, Finch, & Maruyama, 1988). Studies have showed that task rewards and organizational rewards lead to job satisfaction. Task rewards refer to rewards that are in direct association with the job such as the usage of one's skills into challenging work, work variety and opportunities. Organizational rewards are the visible rewards that such as pay, promotion (O'Reilly & Caldwell, 1980). According to the literature, researches' findings are not consistent regarding the influence of age on job satisfaction. Some researchers have found that age have an influence on job satisfaction (Chambers, 1999; Cramer, 1993; Robbins, 2001; Staw, 1995; Tolbert & Moen, 1998).

Older employees tend to experience higher levels of job satisfaction (Belcastro & Koeske, 1996; Billingsley & Cross, 1992; Cramer, 1993; Jones Johnson & Johnson, 2000; Larwood, 1984; Loscocco, 1990; Saal & Knight, 1988). Older employees were more likely to report higher levels of job satisfaction than younger employees. Older workers are more comfortable and tolerant of authority and may learn to lower their expectations for their jobs (Spector, 1997). Other studies found that age has a non-linear association (no impact) on job satisfaction (Sarker, Crossman, & Chinmeteepituck, 2003; Sharma & Jyoti, 2005, 2009; Tu, Plaisent, Bernard, & Maguiraga, 2005) or rather statistically age has an insignificant impact (Bos, Donders, Bouwman-Brouwer, & Van der Gulden, 2009; Bernal, Snyder, & McDaniel, 1998). Some studies concluded that as a chronological variable, age is not a predictor of job satisfaction (Bernal et al.).Managers nowadays consider employees' job satisfaction more than before for the reason that more satisfied employees are more committed to the organization.

Many studies have documented that job satisfaction is an important antecedent of organizational commitment (Porter et al., 1974; Mottaz, 1987; Williams & Anderson, 1991; Vanderberg & Lance, 1992; Knoop, 1995; Young, Worchel & Woehr, 1998; Testa, 2001). Affective organizational commitment was found to be most influential with respect to levels of intrinsic and extrinsic job satisfaction. This concurs with other studies of the behavioral outcomes of commitment (Yannis Markovits, Ann J Davis, & Rolf van Dick, 2007). McCaslin and Mwangi (1994), Manthe, (1976), Boltes et al., (1995), and Bertz and Judge, (1994) found that overall job satisfaction increased as the years of experience increased. However, Bedeian, Farris, and Kacmar, (1992) and O'Reilly and Roberts, (1975) did not support the relationship between job satisfaction and years of experience. Howard and Frink (1996) found that job satisfaction was positively affected by managerial position. That is, managerial employees are more satisfied with their jobs than non-managerial. Clark (1996) also found that employees at the higher end of the occupational scale reported higher satisfaction with various aspects of their work, but were less satisfied with their pay. Whereas the results of Hagedorn (2000), Gigantesco et al (2003), and Buciuniene et al. (2003) show no difference between the levels of job satisfaction among different professional roles position do not impact job satisfaction.

Organizational Commitment

Organizational Commitment Definition and Factors Affecting Organizational Commitment

Mowday, Steers, and Porter (1979, 1982) defined organizational commitment as the behavior that connects employees to the organization. Organizational commitment is how a loyal the employee feels to the organization (Mueller, Wallace, & Price, 1992; Price, 1997). Meyer and Allen (1991), Dunham et al. (1994) recognized organizational commitment as three kinds which are affective, continuous and normative. Affective commitment is the employees' feelings toward joining the organization, continuous commitment is employees' perceptions of costs if leaving the organization and normative commitment is employees' perceptions of their duties and promises toward the organization. Organizational commitment may be viewed as the degree to which an individual adopts organizational values and goals and identifies with them in fulfilling their job responsibilities (Tanriverdi, 2008).

According to the literature, there are many factors affect organizational commitment including individual and managerial. In terms of the individual factors, Benkhoff (1997) found that as the employee gets a higher position in the organization he/she tend to have more organizational commitment. It has been seen that the longer the employee works for the organization the more commitment the employee has (Allen and Meyer, 1990; Hellriegel et al., 1995; O'Reilly et al., 1991). However, other studies did not show the same results (Lok & Crawford, 1999; Brewer, 1996). Mathieu and Zajac (1990) believe that commitment increases with age while Cohen 1993 and Beck and Wilson 2000 stated that position upgrade is more valid than age. Decottis and Summers (1987) study showed that organizational commitment is under the effect of employee's qualities such as leadership and communication. In terms of the managerial factors, several studies have showed that employees' involvement in decision-making (Porter et al., 1974; Mowday et al., 1982; Beck & Wilson, 1997) and the amount of feedback received from managers regarding job performance and job role (Mathieu & Zajac, 1990) affects the employees' organizational commitment. Brewer (1993) suggested that large number of controlling officials in the organization frequently result in lower employee commitment, while less control and more support generates greater commitment and involvement among employees.

Koopman (1991) studied the impact of leadership styles on employees and found that employees who liked their manager's style liked the organization more as well. Although there was no direct connection to commitment but this would later affect employees' levels of organizational commitment. Finally, Nierhoff et al. (1990) found that the level of employees' commitment is related to top management's actions that create the organization's culture and style. In terms of the significance of oorganizational commitment, more committed employees are more responsible and loyal to the organization (Boles et al., 2007). Moreover, oorganizations that commit to the employees value and take care of them gain more committed workers (George & Jones, 1996). Eisenberger et al, (1990) discussed the same idea not only that employees are more committed but they are more careful for their duties, more involved in the organization, and more innovative.

Studies Related to Organizational Commitment

The influence of gender on organizational commitment remains unclear. Women as a group tend to be more committed to their employing organization than their male counterparts (Cramer, 1993; Mathieu & Zajac, 1990; Mowday et al., 1982). Loscocco (1990) found that women were more likely to report that they are proud to work for their organization and that they would accept almost any job offered to them in order to remain with their current employer. Several explanations have been offered to account for the greater commitment of female employees. Mowday et al. (1982) maintain that women generally have to overcome more barriers to attain their positions within the organization. Numerous researchers have not found support for a relationship between gender and organizational commitment (Billingsley & Cross, 1992). Organizational commitment is an important topic of organizational behavior considering the large number of works that found relationships between organizational commitment, attitudes, and behaviors in the organization (Porter et al., 1974, 1976; Koch & Steers, 1978; Angle & Perry, 1981).

Furthermore, Bateman and Strasser (1984) discussed the reasons for studying organizational commitment are related to "(a) employee behaviors and performance effectiveness, (b) attitudinal, affective, and cognitive constructs such as job satisfaction, (c) characteristics of the employee's job and role, such as responsibility and (d) personal characteristics of the employee such as age, job tenure". The literature generally indicated an inverse relationship between organizational commitment and an individual's level of education, however the results are not clear (Luthans et al., 1987; Mowday et al., 1982). The higher an employee's level of education is, the lower that individual's level of organizational commitment (Luthans et al., 1987; Mathieu & Zajac, 1990; Mowday et al., 1982). The negative relationship may result from the fact that highly qualified employees have higher expectations that the organization may be unable to fulfil. However, the level of education does not seem to be consistently related to an employee's level of organizational commitment (Meyer & Allen, 1997). More educated individuals may also be more committed to their profession. However, Billingsley and Cross (1992) failed to find support for a relationship between education and commitment. Researchers view that a positive relationship exists between organizational commitment and level of experience (Larkey & Morrill; 1995; Meyer & Allen, 1997; Mowday, et al., 1982).

Cohen and Gattiker (1994) found that type of position was a significant predictor of organizational commitment where managers have higher levels of commitment and pay satisfaction than non-managers because their income was higher. Mowday et al. (1982) however, did not find any significant differences in the commitment levels across type of position. Managers nowadays consider employees' job satisfaction more than before for the reason that more satisfied employees are more committed to the organization. Many studies have documented that job satisfaction is an important antecedent of organizational commitment (Porter et al., 1974; Mottaz, 1987; Williams & Anderson, 1991; Vanderberg & Lance, 1992; Knoop, 1995; Young, Worchel & Woehr, 1998; Testa, 2001). Affective organizational commitment was found to be more influential with respect to levels of intrinsic and extrinsic job satisfaction. This concurs with other studies of the behavioral outcomes of commitment (Yannis Markovits, Ann J Davis, & Rolf van Dick, 2007).

Methods

Operationalization and Measurements

Job satisfaction was measured by using the Index of Job Satisfaction (Brayfield AH, Rothe HF, 1951) that included 19 items.

Organizational commitment was assessed by using the revised version of TCM Employee Commitment Survey (Meyer, Allen, & Smith, 1993) which included 18 items that measure affective, normative, and continuance commitment. A demographics sheet which included gender, age, years of experience, level of education, and type of position in addition to informational sheet which covered research ethical aspects were also given to subjects. The surveys used a four points scale which represented the degree of agreement or disagreement where (1=strongly disagree, 2= disagree, 3=agree, and 4=strongly agree).

The Research Question

The attempt of this research was to explore whether or not the demographics key variables including gender, age, years of experience, education level, and position type have an impact on job satisfaction and organizational commitment.

Research Approach, Context, and Subjects

The study was conducted in a private bank during the months of July and August of 2011. The bank is an international global bank headquartered in Manama, Kingdom of Bahrain, and its network spreads over 22 countries worldwide in the region of the Gulf, Asia, and North America.

According to the HR manager of the bank surveyed, the bank employes 334 employees. The entire population of employees in all departments were given the survey. Whereas 160 employees refused participating and 18 employees submitted incomplete surveys. The final population surveyed was 156 employees.

Instrumentation and Scales Reliabilities and Validity

Two instruments were used in this study including the Index of Job Satisfaction (IJS) and the TCM Employee Commitment Survey (TCM). The reliability coefficient for the IJS is 0.77 which was corrected by the Spearman-Brown formula to 0.87. The validity of the individual items is partial, although not crucial, evidence for the validity of the scale. This is an appeal to "face" validity. Additional evidence of a like nature is furnished by the method of constructing the scale. A more rigorous requirement for validation is to use an outside criterion. An attempt was made to provide such a criterion. In the current study, the reliability of the Index of Job Satisfaction Survey reported 0.912. Perhaps the most systematic attempt to develop an index of job satisfaction was the one made by Hoppock in the early 1930's. The scale evidently has been assumed to have "face" validity. The product-moment correlation between scores on the Hoppock blank 1935 and on the Brayfield-Rothe blank 1951 was 0.92. Although the two blanks were developed by different methods and contain items which over-lap only slightly they give results which are highly correlated. A comparison was made between the means of the Personnel and Non-Personnel groups on the Hoppock blank. The mean for the Personnel group was 22.2 with an S.D. of 2.6; the mean for the Non-Personnel group was 19.2 with an S.D. of 4.0. The difference between the means is significant at the 1% level; the variances do not differ significantly. Regarding the TCM, several studies have examined its reliability.

For example, Allen and Meyer (1990) reported 0.87 for affective, 0.75 for continuance, and 0.79 for normative. Dunham, Grube, and Castaneda (1994) found that alpha range to be between 0.74 and 0.87 for affective, 0.73 to 0.81 for continuance, and 0.67 to 0.78 for normative. Finally, Cohen, 1996 discovered alphas of 0.79 for affective, 0.69 for continuance, and 0.65 for normative. In the current study, the reliability of the TCM Employee Commitment Survey reported 0.884. The evidence for validity was provided by factor analytic: (a) relations among the commitment measures and related measures; (b) the factor structure of the continuance commitment; and (c) the stability of the factor structures across time. The patterns of correlation between the commitment measures and other variables also indicated that the validity was established. The measurement was adopted by over 40 employee samples, representing more than 16,000 employees from a wide variety of organizations and occupations (Allen & Meyer, 1996).

Data Collection Procedure, Analysis, and Ethical Consideration

The surveys were given to the Human Resources manager to give to all employees to complete and all responses were submitted anonymously back to the manager and the only fully answered surveys were taken into consideration discarding those which have some missing data.

The data gathered from the surveys were computed using SPSS for interpretation and descriptive statistics were used to analyze the subjects' demographics and their impact on job satisfaction and organizational commitment. Finally, the research was conducted in an ethical manner by doing the following: the subjects were not exposed; their anonymity was secured throughout this study; they were fully informed of the associated procedures and risks, as well as they were given their full consent to participate; and they were not forced into participating.

Results

Demographics of Subjects

Out of 156 subjects, 57.1% were males versus 42.9% were females. Subjects' age ranged from 21 and 62 with a mean of 35.3 and a standard deviation of 10.25. However, when sorting the ages into categories, results revealed that 41.7% of the subjects age ranged from 21 and 30, 30.8% ranged from 31 and 40, 17.3% ranged from 41 and 50, and 10.3% exceeded 50. In terms of years of experience, out of 155 subjects, 25.8% of them, their years of experience ranged from 1 and 5, 27% ranged from 6 and 10, 19.3% ranged from 11 and 15, 12.9% ranged from 16 and 20, and 14.8% exceeded 20. Regarding the level of education, 23% of the subjects had high school or less, 58.95 had a bachelor, 17.3% had a master, and .6% had a doctorate. Finally, with reference to position, 1.9% of the subjects were managers, 5.1% were deputy managers, 27.6% were assistant managers, 22.4% were officers, 14.1% were senior clerks, and 28.8% were clerks.

The Results of the Research Question

According to Tables 1, the impact of the demographics key variables—gender, age, years of experience, level of education, and position type—on job satisfaction and organizational commitment varies. In terms of gender, females had higher level of job satisfaction and organizational commitment than their male counterparts. In terms of age, employees whose ages exceeded 60 had the highest level of job satisfaction and organizational commitment than the ones whose ages were less. Employees whose ages ranged 51 and 60 had the least level of job satisfaction. Further, employees whose ages ranged from 41 to 50 had the least level of organizational commitment. In terms of years of experience, employees whose experience ranged between 6 and 10 had the highest level of job satisfaction while the ones whose experience ranged from 1 to 5 had the least level.

Regarding to organizational commitment, employees whose experience ranged between 16 and 20 years had the highest level of commitment while surprisingly the ones with more than 20 years of experience had the least level of commitment. Regarding the educational level, interestingly, employees with high school level had the highest level of job satisfaction, while the ones with doctorates had the least. The same applies to the level of organizational commitment. In terms of position type, managers had the highest level of job satisfaction and organizational commitment. However, senior clerks and assistant managers had the least level of organizational commitment respectively.

Discussion and Implications

As shown in 1, both levels of job satisfaction and organizational commitment vary among gender. In fact, females had higher level of job satisfaction and commitment than the males. Consequently, organizations ought to pay closer attention when it comes to staffing. In terms of age, generally speaking, older employees tend to have higher level of both job satisfaction and organizational commitment than younger ones. The reason why older people might be more committed to their organizations is the fact that they might be less enthusiastic than younger ones to look for new jobs and move to new locations. Additionally, they—the older ones—might have more financial obligations and commitments in their lives than the younger ones which in return they commit more. From an empirical perspective, Mathieu and Zajac (1990) and Batemen and Strasser (1984) found that age impact the level of organizational commitment. As an implication, organizations ought to retain older employees for as long as possible as they tend to become more committed than the younger ones.

This retention can be achieved by investing in their human capital by all means within the organizations capacities. In terms of experience, surprisingly, employees with 6-10 years had the highest job satisfaction level followed by 16-20, >20, 11-5, and lastly 1-5. Accordingly, organizations should investigate thoroughly this kind of variation and try to understand the reasons behind it.

The same goes for organizational commitment especially when employees who had more than 20 years of experience been the least committed. According to the results, employees with 16-20 years of experience were the most committed followed by 6-10, 11-15, 1-5, and lastly >20. The level of job satisfaction and organizational commitment varied in terms of the level of education. Results showed that interestingly, employees with high school had the highest level of job satisfaction followed by employees with masters, then bachelors, and lastly with doctorates. Almost the same for organizational commitment where high school employees were the most committed followed by employees with bachelor, then, masters, then doctorates.

These findings are to some extent challenging for organizations to investigate and eventually find out why such variation exist and to improve it. In terms of position type, the level of job satisfaction and organizational commitment also varied. According to the results, managers had the highest level of job satisfaction followed by offices, clerks, assistant managers, deputy managers, and lastly were the senior clerks. With reference to the level organizational commitment, also, managers had the highest followed by officers, deputy managers, senior clerks, clerks, and lastly the assistant managers. Once again these findings are challenging to organizations when it comes to improving the level of job satisfaction and organizational commitment among employees. Nevertheless, despite what demographic key variable to tackle in this context, the fact remain that these variables do have an impact on the level of job satisfaction and organizational commitment and should not be neglected by organizations.

Conclusion, Limitations, and Recommendations for Further Study

According to the findings of this study, the level of job satisfaction and organizational commitment varied among the different demographics key variables including gender, age, years of experience, education level, and position type. As for the limitations of this study, the generalizability of this study finding 1) may be limited to only this private bank and not be applicable to all private banks in the Kingdom of Bahrain; 2) may not be applicable to government based banks in the Kingdom of Bahrain; and, 3) may not be applicable to the rest of the Gulf region. Finally, to improve the findings validity of this study, 1) other studies should be conducted in other similar private banks in the Kingdom of Bahrain; 2) other studies should be conducted in government based financial institutions in the Kingdom of Bahrain; and, 3) an empirical investigation using inferential statistics should be conducted to examine the impact of these demographics key variables on the level of job satisfaction and organizational commitment.

Table 1: Impact of Gender, Age, Years of Experience, Educational Level, and Position Type on Job Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment

GENDER		N	Mean	Std. Deviation
Job Satisfaction	Male	89	47.54	3.39
	Female	67	47.87	3.24
Commitment	Male	89	46.44	5.47
	Female	67	47.99	5.09
YEARS OF EXPERIENCE		N	Mean	Std. Deviation
Job Satisfaction	6 10	42	48.26	3.76
	16 20	20	48.15	2.35
	> 20	23	47.57	4.52
	11 15	30	47.37	2.77
	1 5	40	47.15	2.86
	Total	155	47.68	3.33
Commitment		N	Mean	Std. Deviation
	16 20	20	48.50	5.78
	6 10	42	47.83	4.25
	11 15	30	46.90	5.93
	1 5	40	46.35	4.94
	> 20	23	46.09	6.66
	Total	155	47.10	5.36
EDUCATION LEVEL	TT 1 0 1 1	N 26	Mean	Std. Deviation
Job Satisfaction	High School	36	48.47	2.49
	Master	27	47.67	3.06
	Bachelor	92	47.40	3.65
	Doctorate	1	45.00	2.22
Commitment	Total	156 N	47.68 Mean	3.32 Std. Deviation
Commitment	High School	36	48.53	4.15
	Bachelor	92	46.96	5.72
	Master	27	45.93	5.19
	Doctorate	1	43.93	3.19
	Total	156	47.10	5.35
POSITION TYPE	Total	N	Mean	Std. Deviation
Job Satisfaction	Manager	3	49.33	1.16
	Officer	35	47.89	3.25
	Clerk	45	47.84	3.81
	Assistant Manager	43	47.74	2.90
	Deputy Manager	8	47.13	3.27
	Senior Clerk	22	46.86	3.44
	Total	156	47.68	3.32
Commitment		N	Mean	Std. Deviation
	Manager	3	49.33	4.16
	Officer	35	49.06	5.30
	Deputy Manager	8	48.63	4.57
	Senior Clerk	22	48.00	5.64
	Clerk	45	46.71	5.20
	Assistant Manager	43	45.02	5.03
	Total	156	47.10	5.35
AGE		N	Mean	Std. Deviation
Job Satisfaction	> 60	2	48.50	2.12
	41 - 50	27	48.26	3.05
	31 - 40	48	48.00	3.52
	21 - 30	65	47.34	2.94
	51 - 60	14	46.93	4.76
	Total	156	47.68	3.32
Commitment		N	Mean	Std. Deviation
	> 60	2	51.00	4.24
				6.13
	51 - 60	14	49.07	
	31 - 40	48	47.88	4.73
	31 - 40 21 - 30	48 65	47.88 46.45	4.73 4.82
	31 - 40	48	47.88	6.13 4.73 4.82 6.84 5.35

References

- Allen, N. J., & Meyer, J. P. (1990). The measurement and antecedents of affective, continuance and normative commitment to the organization. Journal of Occupational Psychology, 63, pp. 1-18.
- Angle, H. L., & Perry, J. L. (1981). "An empirical assessment of organization commitment and organizational effectiveness". Administrative Science Quarterly, 26, pp. 1-13.
- Bateman, T. & Strasser, S. (1984). "A longitudinal analysis of the antecedents of organizational commitment". Academy of Management Journal, Vol. 21, pp. 95-112.
- Beck, K., & Wilson, C. (2000). Development of affective organizational commitment: A cross sequential examination of change with tenure. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 56(1), pp. 114–136.
- Bedeian, A. G., G. R. Farris, and K. M. Kacmar. (1992). Age, Tenure and Job Satisfaction: A Tale of Two Perspectives. Journal of Vocational Behavior. 40(1), pp. 33-48.
- Belcastro, B.R., & Koeske, G.F. (1996). Job satisfaction and intention to seek graduate education. Journal of Social Work Education, 32(3), pp. 315-328.
- Benkhoff, B. (1997). Ignoring commitment is costly: New approaches establish the missing link between commitment and performance. Human Relations. 50, pp. 701-726.
- Bertz, R. D. Jr., and T. A. Judge. (1994). Person Organization Fit and the Theory of Work Adjustment: Implications for Satisfaction, Tenure and Career Success. Journal of Vocational Behavior. 44(1), pp. 32-54.
- Bernal, D., Snyder, D., & McDaniel, M. (1998). The age and job satisfaction relationship: Does its shape and strength still evade us? Journal of Gerontology: Series B-Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences, 53, pp. 287-293.
- Billingsley, B.S., & Cross, L.H. (1992). Predictors of commitment, job satisfaction, and intent to stay in teaching: A comparison of general and special educators. Journal of Special Education, 25(4), pp. 453-472.
- Boles, D. B.; Bursk, J. H.; Phillips, J. B. & Perdelwitz, J. R. (2007), Predicting Dual-Task Performance With the Multiple Resources Questionnaire (MRQ). Human Factors, 49 (1), pp. 32-45.
- Boltes, B. V., L. A. Lippke, and E. Gregory (1995). Employee Satisfaction in Extension: A Texas study. Journal of Extension. 33(5).
- Bos, J., Donders, N., Bouwman-Brouwer, K., & Van der Gulden, J. (2009). Work characteristics and determinants of job satisfaction in four age groups: university employees' point of view. International Archives of Occupational and Environmental Health, 82(10), 1249-1259.
- Brayfield, A. H.; and Rothe, H. F. (1951), "An Index of Job Satisfaction", Journal of Applied Psychology, 35, pp. 305-311.
- Brewer A (1993) Managing for Employee Commitment, Longman, London.
- Brewer, A.M. (1996). Developing commitment between managers and employees. Journal of Managerial Psychology, 11(4), pp. 24-34.
- Bruning, N. S., & Snyder, R. A. (1983). Sex and position as predictors of organizational commitment. Academy of Management Journal, 26, pp. 485-491.
- Buciuniene, I., Blazeviciene, A. & Bliudziute, E. (2003). Health care reform and job satisfaction of primary health care physicians in Lithuania, BMC Family Practice, 6, pp. 6-10.
- Chambers, J.M. (1999). The job satisfaction of managerial and executive women: Revisiting the assumptions. Journal of Education for Business, 75(2), pp. 69-75.
- Clark, A. E. (1997). Job Satisfaction and Gender: Why are Women so Happy at Work, Research in Labour Economics, 4(4), pp. 341-372.
- Clark, A., & Oswald, A. (1996). Satisfaction and comparison income. Journal of Public Economics, 61, pp. 359-381. Cohen, A. (1993a). Organizational commitment and turnover: A meta-analysis. Academy of Management Journal, 36, pp. 1140–1157.
- Cohen, A. & Gattiker, U. E. (1994) Rewards and organizational commitment across structural characteristics: A Meta-analysis, Journal of Business and Psychology, 9(2), pp.137-157
- Comm CL, Mathaisel DFX (2000). Assessing employee satisfaction in service firms: An Example in Higher Education. The Journal of Business and Economic Studies, 6(1), pp. 43-53.
- Cramer, D. (1993). Tenure, commitment, and satisfaction of college graduates in an engineering firm. Journal of Social Psychology, 133(6), pp. 791-797.

- Decottis, T.A. and Summers T.P. (1987). A path analysis of a model of the antecedents and consequences of organizational commitment. Human Relations, 40(7), pp. 445-470.
- Dunham, R. B., Grube, J. A. & Castaneda, M. B. (1994). Organizational commitment: the utility of an integrative definition. Journal of Applied Psychology, 79, pp. 370-380.
- Eisenberger, R., Fasolo, P., and Davis-LaMastro, V. (1990). Perceived organizational support, employee diligence, commitment, and innovation. Journal of Applied Psychology, 75, pp. 51-59.
- Fassina, N.E., Jones, D.A. and Uggerslev, K.L. (2008). Relationship clean-up time: Using meta-analysis and path analysis to clarify relationships among job satisfaction, perceived fairness, and citizenship behaviors. Journal of Management, 34(2), pp.161-188.
- Freeman, R. B., (1978). Job Satisfaction as an Economic Variable, American Economic Review, 68(2), pp. 135-141.
- George. J.M. and Jones, G.R. (1996). Understanding and Managing Organisational Behavior. New York: Addison-Wesley Publishing Co. Inc.
- George, J.M., & Jones, G.R. (2008). Understanding and Managing Organizational Behavior. Fifth Edition. Upper Saddle River: New Jersey, Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Gigantesco, A., Picardi, A., Chiaia, E., Balbi, A. & Morosini, P. (2003). Job satisfaction among mental health professionals in Rome, Italy. Community Mental Health Journal, 39, pp. 349-355.
- Hagedorn, L. S. (2000). Conceptualizing faculty job satisfaction: Components, theories, and outcomes. New Directions for Institutional Research, 105, pp. 5–20.
- Hagenaars, A. J. (1986). The Perception of Poverty. Amsterdam: New Holland.
- Hamermesh D.S. (2001). The changing distribution of job satisfaction. Journal of Human Resources, 36, pp. 1-30.
- Hamermesh D.S. (1977). Economic aspects of job satisfaction, Labour Market Analysis, John Wiley, New York, pp.53-72.
- Hellriegel, D., Slocum Jr., J., and Woodman, R. (1995). Organizational Behavior, (7th ed.). West Publishing Company.
- Herzberg, F., Mausner, B., Peterson, R.D. and Capwell, D.F. (1957). Job attitudes: Review of research and opinions. Pittsburgh: Psychological Service of Pittsburgh.
- Hoppock, R. (1935). Job satisfaction. New York: Harper and Brothers.
- Howard, J. & D. Frink (1996), The Effects of Organizational Restructure on Employee Satisfaction, Group and Organization Management, 21(3), pp.278-303.
- Hirschfield, R. R. (2000). Does revising the intrinsic and extrinsic subscales of the Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire short form make a difference? Educational and Psychological Measurement, 60, pp.255-270.
- Jinnett, K., & Alexander, J.A. (1999). The influence of organisational context on quitting intention. Research on Aging, 21(2), pp. 176-205.
- Jones Johnson, G., & Johnson, W.R. (2000). Perceived over qualification and dimensions of job satisfaction: A longitudinal analysis. Journal of Psychology, 34(5), pp. 537-556.
- Knoop, R. (1995). Relationships between Job Involvement, Job Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment for Nurses. Journal of Psychology Interdisciplinary and Applied Psychology, 129(6), pp. 643-649.
- Koch, J. & Steers, R. (1978). Job attachment, satisfaction, and turnover among public sector employees. Journal of Vocational Behavior, 12, pp. 119-128.
- Koopman, P.L., 1991. Between control and commitment: Management and change as the art of balancing. Leadership and OD Journal, 12, pp. 3-7.
- Lambert, E.G., Hogan, N.L., Barton, A., & Lubbock, S.M. (2001). The impact of job satisfaction on turnover intent: A test of a structural measurement model using anational sample of workers. Social Science Journal, 38(2), pp. 233-251.
- Larkey, L. & Morrill, C. (1995). Organisational commitment as a symbolic process. Western Journal of Communication, 59(3), pp. 193-214.
- Larwood, L. (1984). Organisational behavior and management. Boston: Kent Publishing Company.
- Lee-Kelley, L., Blackman, D. A., & Hurst, J. P. (2007). An exploration of the relationship between learning organizations and the retention of knowledge workers. The Learning Organization, 14(3), 204-221.

- Lincoln, J. R., & Kalleberg, A. L. (1990). Culture, control and commitment: A study of work organization and work orientations in the United States and Japan. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Locke, E. A. (1976). The nature and causes of job satisfaction. In M. D. Dunnette (Ed.), Handbook of industrial and organizational psychology (pp. 1297-1349). Chicago, IL:Rand McNally.
- Lok P. & Crawford J. (1999). The influence of organizational culture, subculture, leadership style and job satisfaction on organizational commitment. Leadership and Organization Development Journal. 20 (7), pp. 365-373.
- Loscocco, K.A. (1990). Reactions to blue-collar work: A comparison of women and men. Work & Occupations, 17(2), pp. 152–177.
- Luthans, F., Baack, D., & Taylor, L. (1987). Organisational commitment: Analysis of antecedents. Human Relations, 40(4), pp. 219-236.
- Mathieu, J.E., & Zajac, D.M. (1990). A review and meta-analysis of the antecedents, correlates, and consequences of organisational commitment. Psychological Bulletin, 108(2), pp.171-194.
- Manthe, R. D. (1976). A job satisfaction and dissatisfaction study of the West Virginia University Extension Service. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Wisconsin, Madison.
- McCaslin, V. L., and J. Mwangi. 1994. Job Satisfaction of Kenya's Rift Valley Extension Agents. Journal of Extension. 32(3).
- Meyer, J.P. & Allen, N.J. (1991). A Tree-component conceptualization of organizational commitment. Human Resource Management Review, 1, pp. 61-89.
- Meyer, N.J., & Allen, N. (1997). Commitment in the Workplace. Thousand Oaks, CA Sage Publications.
- Mortimer, J. T., Finch, M. D., & Maruyama, G. (1988). Work experience and job satisfaction: Variation by age and gender. In J. T. Mortimer & K. M. Borman (Eds.), Work experience and psychological development through the life span. pp. 109–156. Boulder, CO: West-view Press.
- Mottaz, C.J. (1987). An Analysis of the Relationship between Work Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment. The Sociological Quarterly, 28(4), pp. 541-558.
- Mowday, R.T., Porter L.W. and Steers, R.M. (1982). Employee-Organizational Linkages: The Psychology of Commitment, Absenteeism and Turnover. New York: Academic Press.
- Mowday, R.T., Steers, R.M. and Porter, L.W. (1979). "The Measurement of Organizational Commitment." Journal of Vocational Behavior, 14, pp. 224-247.
- Mueller, C. W., Boyer, E. M., Price, J. L., & Iverson, R. D. (1994). Employee attachment and no coercive conditions of work: The case of dental hygienists. Work and Occupations, 21, pp. 179-212.
- Mueller, C. W., Wallace, J. E., & Price, J. L. (1992). Employee commitment: Resolving some issues. Work and Occupations, 19, pp. 211-236.
- Nelson, D, L. & Quick, J.C. (2009). Organizational Behavior "ORGB". Mason: Ohio, South- Western Cengage Learning.
- O'Reilly, C. A., & Caldwell, D. F. (1980). Job choice: The impact of intrinsic and extrinsic factors on subsequent satisfaction and commitment. Journal of Applied Psychology, 65, pp. 559-565.
- O'Reilly III, C.A, Chatman, J. & Caldwell, D.F. (1991). People and organizational culture: A profile comparison approach to assessing person-organization fit. Academy of Management Journal, 34, pp. 487-516.
- O'Reilly, C. A. III, and K. H. Roberts (1975). Individual Differences in Personality, Position in the Organization and Job Satisfaction. Organizational Behavior and Human Performance. 14, pp. 144-150.
- Porter, L.W., Crampon, W. & Smith, F. (1976). Organizational commitment and managerial turnover: A longitudinal study. Organizational Behavior and Human Performance, 15, pp. 87-98.
- Porter, L.W., Steers, R.M, & Mowday, R.T. (1974). Organizational commitment, job satisfaction, and turnover among psychiatric technicians. Journal of Applied Psychology, 59(5), pp. 603-609.
- Price, J. L. (1997). Handbook of organizational measurement. Bradford, UK: MCB University Press.
- Quinn, R. P., Staines, G. L., & McCullough, M. R. (1974). Job satisfaction: Is there a real trend? Manpower Research Monograph 30, Washington DC: Manpower Administration, U.S. Department of Labor.
- Robbins, S.P. & Judge, T. A. (2009). Organizational Behavior. Thirteenth Edition. Upper Saddle River: New Jersey, Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Ross, C. E., & Reskin, B. F. (1992). Education, control at work and job satisfaction. Social Science Research, 21, pp. 134-148.

- Saal, F.E., & Knight, P.A. (1988). Industrial/Organisational Psychology: Science & Practice. Belmont: Wadsworth.
- Sarker, S.J., Crossman, A. & Chinmeteepituck, P. (2003). The relationships of age and length of service with job satisfaction: an examination of hotel employees in Thailand. Journal of Managerial Psychology, 18, (7/8), pp.745-758.
- Sharma, R., & Jyoti, J.(2009). Job Satisfaction of University Teachers: An Empirical Study. Journal of Services Research, 9(2), 51-80.
- Sharma, R.D. & Jyoti, J. (2005). What influences job satisfaction the most? Business Vision, 2:1, 1-26.
- Sloane, P, Battu, H. and Seaman, P. (1995). Over education, Under education and the British Labour Market. Working Paper 95-09. University of Aberdeen.
- Sousa-Poza, T. (2003). Gender differences in job satisfaction in Great Britain, 1991-2000. Applied Economics Letters, 10(11), pp. 691.
- Spector, P.E. (1997). Job satisfaction: Application, assessment, cause, and consequences. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Staw, B.M. (1995). Psychological dimensions of organisational behavior. (2nd ed.). New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Tanriverdi, H. (2008). Workers' Job Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment: Mediator Variable Relationships of Organizational Commitment Factors. Journal of American Academy of Business, 14(1), pp. 152-163.
- Testa, M.R. (2001). Organizational Commitment, Job Satisfaction and Effort in the Service Environment. Journal of Psychology, 135(2), pp. 226-236.
- Tolbert, P.S., & Moen, P. (1998). Men's and women's definitions of "good" jobs. Work & Occupations, 25(2), pp. 168-195.
- Tu, L., Plaisent, M., Bernard, P., & Maguiraga, L. (2005). Comparative age differences of job satisfaction on faculty at higher education level: China and Taiwan. The International Journal of Educational Management, 19(2/3), 259-267.
- Vandenberg, R.J. and Lance, C.E. (1992). "Examining the Causal Order of Job Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment. Journal of Management, 18(1), pp. 153-167.
- Williams, L.J. and Anderson, S.E. (1991). Job Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment as Predictors of Organizational Citizenship and In-role Behaviors. Journal of Management, 17(3), pp. 601-617.
- Williams, L.J. and Hazer, J.T. (1986), Antecedents and consequences of satisfaction and commitment in turnover models: a re-analysis using latent variable structural equation methods, Journal of Applied Psychology, 72 (1), pp. 219-31.
- Yannis Markovits, Ann J Davis, Rolf van Dick. (2007). Organizational Commitment Profiles and Job Satisfaction among Greek Private and Public Sector Employees. International Journal of Cross Cultural Management, 7(1), p. 77.
- Young, B.S., Worchel, S. and Woehr, D. (1998). Organizational Commitment among Public Service Employees. Public Personnel Management, 27(3), pp. 339-348.