

Projection of future flows, the way to determine the specific value of an *asset*

Dra. Karime Chahuán Jiménez

Escuela de Graduados

Facultad de Ciencias Económicas y Administrativa

Universidad de Valparaíso

Gaston Hamel Nieto 150, Departamento B21.

Viña del Mar, Chile

Abstract

The aim of this article is to present the accounting valuation, “the specific value of an asset,” according to the International Accounting Standards approaching a financial vision. The methodological development was based on a documental analysis of the International Accounting Standards, International Standards of Financial Information and other investigations related to the financial asset valuation, especially those that deal with the topics of estimated cash flow projection and discount rate. These made it possible to identify variables and accounting categories, and explain the applications that they should have in order to know the specific value for the entity of an asset through an estimate of its intrinsic value and the future cash flows.

Keywords: valuation, estimated future flows, specific value, current value, discount rate

1. Introduction

The basic concepts about the valuation of assets and liabilities, according to the International Accounting Standards, are mainly associated to a reasonable value or fair value. These are closely related to the criteria used in the financial area as it incorporates a market-based measurement and not a specific measurement of the entity. The concepts of current value and specific value of an asset for the organization are applicable when a similar asset or liability is not observed NIIF 13 (2012).

The article tries to base, from the financial point of view, the accounting valuation: “specific value of an asset” according to the International Accounting Standards and the International Standards of Financial Information. To do this, the research attempts to answer the following question: Which are the variables that allow us to value the assets from an accounting perspective?

The methodological development has been based on the categorization of the information starting with the variables associated to the valuation of assets, and exploring the projection of future cash flows as a basis of the financial valuation. Finally, a conclusion is reached focusing on the question mentioned above, associated to the variables and categories analyzed in the assets valuation, accounting standards and the financial models found in the theory.

2.0 Theory

2.1 Accounting Standards

In Chile, the study of the process of convergence of Accounting Principles Generally Accepted to International Accounting Standards (NIC-IFRS9, started in the year 2004 when the Superintendence of Securities and Insurance gave instructions for their applicability and stated a date on which the societies under their supervision, should adopt them through the circular letter 368 of October 2006. Additionally, the Chilean association of accountants together with the IDB (Inter-American Development Bank) declared in their project about convergence, That “the development reached by our economic activity both National and international, revealed the importance of financial information and with it the necessity to participate in the development of the accounting harmonization at international level”. (Colegio de Contadores, 2006: 1)

As a result of the process of convergence and taking into account the concepts of assets, liabilities and equity, the conceptual model presented by the IASC, defined each of them as follows:

- “(a) An asset is a resource controlled by the entity as a result of past transactions from which the entity expects to obtain future economic benefits.
- (b) A liability is the present obligation of a company as a result of past transactions, but in the moment they are due and in order to pay them, the entity has to get rid of resources which involve economic benefits.
- (c) Equity is the residual part of the assets of a company after deducting all of its liabilities”. (Conceptual Model, 1989:45)

From the definition of asset, two important variables are deduced and have to be considered by an organization. The first one is the control that has to be taken for the recognition of an asset, and the second variable corresponds to the acquisition of future economic benefits. The valuation must necessarily incorporate these two variables that are part of the definition presented by the conceptual model of the International Accounting Standards.

2.2 Value of the assets, accounting vision

The determination of the value of an asset, according to the Accounting Principles Generally Accepted (PCGA), was based on its historical cost. This was one of the eighteen accounting principles which appeared in the technical bulletin N°1 of the Chilean association of accountants. Due to the process of convergence, the valuation of assets such as properties, land and equipment are regarded as fixed assets. It has different approaches depending on their use and because of that, we can identify: cost, specific value for the entity, reasonable value, recoverable amount, current value and residual value according to the NIC 16 (2005).

When dealing with costs, it implies “the amount of cash or means of payment equivalent to the paid cash, or the reasonable value of the consideration to buy an asset in the moment of its attainment or construction or, when it is applicable, the amount attributed to the asset according to the requirements” (NIC 16, 2004: 682). The specific value for a company corresponds to the “current cash flows which the entity expects to receive for its continual use and for the alienation or disposal by any other means at the end of its useful life”. (NIC 16, 2004: 2).

The reasonable value is “the price to be received after selling an asset or to be paid after transferring a liability in a transaction between market participants on the date to be leased.” (NIIF 13, 2012:2)

In the case of a recoverable amount the value “corresponds to the greatest between the net selling price of an asset and its current value” (NIC 16, 2004:682). This means that the valuation of a recoverable amount depends on the current value of an asset, which according to the NIC 36 “is the present value of future cash flows to be obtained by the asset or by a CGU (cash generating unit)”. (NIC 36, 2006:1158).

The residual value of an asset “is the estimated amount that the company might get through the alienation of it by other means after deducting its estimated costs only if it had reached its due date and fulfilled the conditions at the end of its useful life”. (NIC 16, 2004:682).

2.3 Value of Assets, financial vision

From a financial point of view, Van Horne and Wachowicz (2010) say that the term “value” can have different meanings as understood by different people, so it is necessary to revise the way it is used in the financial transaction to be done. The following concepts are presented so as to take them into account: associated liquidation value meaning “the amount of money which can be obtained if a asset or assets (for example, a company) is sold apart from its operational organization.” (Van Horne and Wachowicz, 2010:74). Going concern value: this is associated to “the amount of money in which a company can be sold as a continuous operational business” (Van Horne and Wachowicz, 2010:74). The concepts of “value” found in books and in the market are also widely used from a financial point of view. In books value is considered as the cost of a asset minus its depreciation (Van Horne and Wachowicz, 2010). The market value is related to “the market price at which the asset (or similar assets) are traded in the open market” (Van Horne and Wachowicz, 2010: 74). Having in mind, the market value and its definition, the named authors present another classification. The so called, intrinsic value of a asset, defining it as “the current value of a sequence of cash flows given to the investor after deducting the yield rate corresponding to the risk implied” (Van Horne and Wachowicz, 2010: 75).

2.4. Linking the Accounting and Financial Concepts

Considering the specific value of an asset and its current value, it is shown that both concepts are related to the current value of future estimated flows. Therefore, from a financial point of view, it is said that the evaluation of an investment corresponds to the specific value of an asset as stated by the International Accounting Standards.

According to the NIIF 13 (2012) the reasonable value differs from the “current value. The first one reflects the assumptions that the market participants should take into consideration when fixing the price of an asset. On the other hand, the current value refers to the effects that factors from a specific entity may have, and which are not applicable to all entities.” (NIIF 3, 2012:51)

Additionally, the International Accounting Standard N°16 (2004) states the concept of recoverable amount of an asset or of a CGU; defining it as the greatest amount between its fair value minus the alienation costs and its current value. And, according to the standard NIC 36 (2006) which establishes the deterioration of the assets, the current value is defined as “the present value of the estimated future cash flows that they expect to achieve from an asset or CGU.” (NIC 36, 2004: 1158).

2.5. Future Flows as a valuation method

From a financial point of view, flows consider cash as the incomes or expenses which are produced by an asset or CGU in each period, taking into consideration the variables that operate in those flows as a result of the different future conditions which might appear. This implies a risk in the incorporation of values for the analysis. The period of time in which a flow rules for an asset or CGU must consider homogenous values throughout the whole period.

The projection of flows has to incorporate associated flows, in the case of Investments of assets or CGU, and other adjustments such as maintenance or parts that might be necessary so as to continue making use of the asset or CGU.

It also needs to consider the incomes that the asset generates as a result of its associated use in the company's production that can be both operational and administrative. Also the expenses associated to the necessary costs in making use of the asset or CGU. The International Accounting Standard N° 36 states the calculation bases of the current value and which also have to be considered for the specific value of an asset or CGU indicating the following: (NIC 36, 2004)

- (a) An estimate of the future cash flows, which an entity expects to achieve from an asset, must take into account the budgets and estimates approved by the board of directors of the company, and in case they involve a period of five years or more, they have to be guaranteed to make sure to obtain those results.
- (b) The expectations about possible variations in the amount or in the temporal distribution of the future cash flows must consider the projections about the length of time required to achieve economic benefits, and the possible variations in the amounts of expenses. The analyses of sensitivity in the projects are those which deal with the variability that each of the variables experiment in the attainment of future flows. The asset investments have certain risks “because the cash flows related to them operate in a future time and that makes them quite uncertain”. (Martinez, 2012: 136).
- (c) The temporal value of money is represented by the current market interest rate, but without any risk. The interest rate corresponds to the value of money all through the time; therefore, when the NIC 36 indicates that there is no risk, it is saying that the risks and cash variations have been included in the flows. And in the case a projection of future flows didn't include the risk associated to the attainment of cash, the discount rate had to incorporate the risks which are part of the asset, such as a property, land, equipment or even a CGU. The profitability of investment projects is calculated taking into consideration two indicators: the TIR and VAN, says Martínez (2012) “the net current value is the present value or price that is paid for the investment, and the internal return rate corresponds to the profitability of the investment” (Martínez 2006:136). From a financial vision, when using a reasonable value of an asset we will be talking of its net present value (VAN).
- (d) The uncertainty which all assets have can be adjusted to the flows projection or due to its risk to the discount rate. However, it is important to consider either one or the other, but not both at the same time because this would duplicate the effect on the valuation.

- (e) “Other factors, such as illiquidity may be reflected in the price given by the market and influence the future cash flows which an entity expects to derive from an asset.” (NIC 36, 2006:1163) In this case, what is reflected is the flow that comes from the entity’s projection for a specific asset or CGU.

Van Horne and Wachowicz (2010) said that the price should consider all the factors that intervene in the valuation: revenues, future prospects, administration and others. In short the intrinsic value of an asset is its economic value so if the market is reasonably efficient and well-informed; the current market price of an asset or CGU will fluctuate near its intrinsic value.

3.0. Variables affecting the assets’ valuation when using a traditional method and estimated cash flow

The current value, the specific value for an asset, the intrinsic value of an asset, and its value necessarily use the projection of future cash flows when calculating its price. This permits to value the asset or CGU taking into account its future projection. The bases to calculate its specific value are associated to:

- (a) “Reasonable and based hypotheses of the projections of cash flows which represent the best estimates of all the economic conditions that might appear throughout its useful life. A great importance will be given to the external evidences of the entity”. (NIC 36,2004:1164)
- (b) “The projection of cash flows in the budgets or recent financial estimates approved by the managers, not considering the estimates of inflows or outflows due to future restructuring or improvements in the profitability of the assets. These projections will cover a period of maximum five years unless a longer period could be justified.” (NIC 36,2004:1164)
- (c) “The projection of cash flows for a longer period should be based on a constant or decreasing growth rate unless an increasing rate could be justified, and it shouldn’t exceed the long term medium growth rate for products or industries as well as for the country or market where the entity operates with this asset.” (NIC 36,2004: 1164)

According to the NIC 36, the estimates of future cash flows have to be composed by:

- (a) “Projections of inflows that come from the continuous use of an asset;
- (b) Projections of outflows necessary to generate the inflows for the continuous use of the asset (including the payments to prepare it for its use) and which could be directly attributed or distributed according to a uniform and reasonable base for that asset.
- (c) The net cash flows that would be achieved by the asset alienation or disposal by other means at the end of its useful life.” (NIC 36,2004:1165)

Before the payment of taxes, the type or types of discount to be used have to reflect the current market evaluations:

- (a) “Time value of money; and
- (b) Specific risks of the asset if the estimates of future cash flows have not been adjusted.” (NIC 36, 2004: 1168)

According to the NIC 36, to calculate the rate the following considerations have to be taken into account:

- a) “The weighted average cost of capital which is determined using techniques such as the price model of financial assets.
- b) The increasing interest rate of loans hired by the entity
- c) Other market interest rates for loans.” (NIC 36, 2004:1193)

Nevertheless, these types should be adjusted:

- (a) “So as to reflect the way in which the market evaluates the specific risks associated to the estimated cash flows of the assets
- (b) To avoid the risks which have no relevance in the estimated cash flows, or for those that have already been adjusted.” (NIC 36, 2004:1193).

Additionally to those adjustments, “risks such as country-risk, the risk-free-rate and the market risk have to be considered as potential risks.” (NIC 36,2004:1193) “The type of discount is independent from the entity’s capital and in the way the entity pays for the purchase of the asset.

This is because the estimated future cash flows that derive from the asset do not depend on the way the entity financed it” (NIC 36,2004:1193)“An entity would normally use only one type of discount to estimate the current value of an asset. Nevertheless, the entity would use different types of interest for different future periods if its value were sensitive to the risks involved, or to the types of interest in time.” (NIC 36, 2004:1193)

To determine the flows, the NIC 36 (2004), mentions a traditional approach and an expected cash to calculate its current value. In the traditional approach, “a unique interest rate incorporates all the expectations of future cash flows as well as the adequate risk prime “(NIC 36, 2004:1190). When talking about similar market assets, the traditional approach uses their current value with estimated cash flows and a unique discount rate that is the rate corresponding to” the risk involved.” (NIC 36, 2004:1190). The expected cash approach uses all the “expectations about the possible cash flows instead of a more probable cash flow.” (NIC36, 2004:1191)

Additionally, the NIIF 13 (2012) proposes other valuation approaches. One of them is the market approach that deals with market transactions which involve assets, liabilities, groups of assets or CGU that can be similar. Another one is the associated cost approach which corresponds to the amount that will be needed at present to substitute the effective capacity of an asset. The income approach transforms future amounts into a unique present amount; in this case, the valuation of inflows takes into account the market reasonable value.

The NIF 13 (2012) considers all the aspects associated to the valuation of flows which the NIC 16 and 36 mention with respect to the estimate of future flows as forms of valuation.

Table 1: Variables to calculate the specific value of an asset/current value

| Variables to calculate the specific value of an asset/current value | Categories of the identified variable |
|---|--|
| Projection of future cash flows of an asset or CGU | Reasonable hypotheses |
| | Based hypotheses |
| | External evidence associated to the hypotheses |
| | Company’s budgets approved by its board of directors |
| | Financial provisions associated to a company’s asset |
| | Prior projections based on budgets or foreknowledge. |
| | Use of constant growth rates after 5 years |
| | Use of decreasing growth rates after 5 years |
| | Analysis of an increasing growth rate after 5 years |
| | Limitations in the use of a rate depending on the industry, market and the country |
| | Projections of inflows that come from a continuous use of an asset |
| | Projections of cash outflows necessary to generate cash inflows |
| | Net flows as a result of the alienation of an asset |
| | Net cash flows due to the end of an asset’s useful life |
| | Discount rate used to calculate the value of an asset or CGU |
| Specific risks of an asset | |
| Return on assets | |
| Cost of financing the asset | |

Source: Own elaboration based on NIC36 (2004).

According to the NIIF 13 (2012), the valuation techniques used to measure the reasonable value or each of the flows, which form part of the net flow, will “maximize the use of relevant observable variables and minimize the use of unobserved variables.” (NIIF 13, 2012:10) When determining the flows, it is necessary to consider the order of importance in the reasonable value to produce each flow.

The variables considered in level one are those quotations carried out in the market (without adjustments) for similar assets or liabilities which an entity may have on the date of their measurement. NIIF 13(2012). The variables on level two are associated to the quoted prices of similar assets or liabilities found in non-active markets, and also incorporating variables that are different to those quoted prices. Finally, the variables to be found in level three are those which are unobserved for an asset or liability. (NIIF 13, 2012).

Table 2: Category of the identified variable when calculating the specific value of an asset/ current value and/or asset's future flows.

| Category of the identified variable when calculating the specific value of an asset/ current value and/or asset's future flows. | Specification of the category (It's way of being calculated) |
|---|---|
| Reasonable hypotheses | Definite amount for each flow |
| Based hypotheses | Definite amount for each flow |
| External evidence related to the hypotheses | Documents and quotations according to the amounts associated to inflows and outflows. |
| Company's budgets approved by the board of directors. | Company's approval which can be in the form of a signature, record or document authorizing the budget |
| Financial provisions associated to the asset in the company. | Documents associated to programmed maintenance considered by the company |
| Previous projections based on budgets or foreknowledge | Company's budgets corresponding to analyzed assets |
| Use of constant growth rates after five years. | Estimate of the production growth rate of an asset in the last three years, and estimate of the average rate. |
| Use of decreasing growth rates after five years. | Estimate of the production growth rate of the asset in the last three years, and estimate of the average rate, including a deduction if it corresponds depending on the approved documentation. |
| Analysis of the increasing growth rate after five years. | Estimate of the production growth rate of the asset in the last three years, and estimate of the rate average including an increase if it corresponded depending on the approved documentation. |
| Limitations in the use of a rate depending on the average of the industry, market and country. | Estimate of the average rate of the industry where the company is inserted and specially the asset. |
| | Estimate of the market's average rate for the analyzed asset. |
| | Estimate of the country's average rate. |
| Projections of inflows which come from the continuous use of the asset. | Production amount of the asset measured in cash. |
| Projections of outflows necessary to generate inflows. | Amount of the outflows associated to the necessary resources which need to be incorporated for its production. |
| Net flows as a result of the alienation of the asset | Cash flow associated to the projection of the selling time of the analyzed asset. |
| Net flows due to the end of the asset's useful life | Cash flow associated to the end of the asset's useful life. |
| Value of Money in time | Use of homogenous amounts, use of a protected currency and incorporation of operational and financial risk |
| Specific risks of the asset | Associated to an operational risk (Use of a model when determining a discount rate). |
| Asset's production | Associated to an operational risk (Use of a model when determining a discount rate) |
| Financial costs of the asset | Associated to the financial risk (Use of a model to determine the discount rate). |

Source: Own elaboration according to the NIC 36 (2004).

Table 3: Models incorporated in the specifications of categories to estimate the value of an asset

| Models incorporated in the specifications of categories to estimate the value of an asset | References | | Formula to estimate the rate depending on the model. | Incorporated variables |
|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| CAPM | Sanchez(2010; 123) | Rate of the market cost of a liability | $Ra = Rf + (Rm - Rf) * \beta$ | Investment risk Investment's profitability Minimum pressing from the company |
| APM | Sanchez(2010; 123) | Arbitrage Pricing Model | Investment risk = Market risk | Investment risk Market risk |
| MFM | Sanchez(2010; 123) | Multi Factor Model | Specific factors of market risk | Market risk |
| PM | Sanchez(2010; 123) | Proxy Model | High return, high risk | For long periods of time, high returns imply high risks |
| WACC | Zuñiga, Soria y Sjoberg (2011) | Weighted average cost of capital. | Average cost rate of capital. Business level | Associated to the business risk |

Source: Own elaboration according to the authors cited in the references.

Variables included in the models presented:

Factors which may impact WACC-CAPM next year include:

- a. "The cost of debt
- b. The corporate tax rate
- c. The risk-free rate
- d. The market risk
- e. The market rate of return

Using spinners, adjust each of the aforementioned factors and briefly comment on how they impact WACC-CAPM". (Drougas, Walstra and Harrington,2012:8).

4. Discussion

The revised theory, associated to the accounting valuation of assets, according to international accounting standards and international standards of financial information, the convergence presented in Chile, the financial valuation, and the identification of variables and categories involved in the application of accounting and financial methods of valuation made it possible to identify financial methods which are used based on accounting standards and therefore permit to have a financial vision from the accounting perspective.

5. Conclusion

This article shows in a reflexive way the assets valuation regarding its specific and current value from an accounting perspective, according to the International Accounting Standards and the International Standards of Financial Information. Additionally, an analysis from a financial vision is carried out taking into account the recommendations presented by the IASC and IASB through the international standards issued by them. The analysis permitted to identify categories associated to the methodology found in NIC and IFRS. This focus their attention on the projection of future flows and the use of discount rates corresponding to the identified variables.

After identifying the categories of the two variables, it can be concluded that the traditional and the estimated cash flow models presented by the NIC 36 (2004) are based on estimated flows associated to the asset and make use of financial discount rates such as WACC and CAPM. In Chile, just a few organizations are thinking about using this methodology as it requires a profound analysis in the moment of doing a valuation with these variables and financial models.

References

- Besley, S. y Brigham, E. (2001). *Fundamentos de Administración Financiera*. México: McGraw Hill.
- Bodie, Z. y Merton, R. (2003). *Finanzas*. México: Pearson Educación de México.
- Çelik, Ş. (2012). Theoretical and Empirical Review of Asset Pricing Models: A Structural Synthesis. *International Journal Of Economics & Financial Issues (IJEFI)*, 2(2), 141-178.
- Colegio de Contadores de Chile (2006). *Marco Conceptual para la preparación y presentación de Estados Financieros*. Available in: http://www.chilecont.cl/wp-content/uploads/2012/01/Marco_Conceptual.pdf
- Drougas, A., Walstra, R., & Harrington, S. (2012). An interactive approach to teaching WACC concepts in an introductory finance class. *Journal Of Finance & Accountancy*, (11), 109-127.
- IASC. (1989). Marco Conceptual. *Normas Internacional de Información Financiera, IFRS*. London: IASB.
- IASB. (2006). *Normas Internacional de Información Financiera, IFRS*. London: IASB.
- IASB, (2006), NIC 16 (2004). *Normas Internacional de Información Financiera, IFRS*. London: IASB.
- IASB, (2006), NIC36 (2004). *Normas Internacional de Información Financiera, IFRS*. London: IASB.
- IASB, (2012). NIIF13. Medición del Valor Razonable. *Normas Internacional de Información Financiera*
- Martínez, Eduardo (2012). *Finanzas para Directivos*. Madrid: McGraw Hill.
- Mejia-Pelaez, F. and Velez-Pareja, I., (2011) Analytical Solution to the Circularity Problem When Using Discounted Cash Flow Valuation. *Innovar*, 21(42). Available at SSRN: <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1651585>
- Mongrut, S.; Fuenzalida, D.; Pezo, G. y Teply, Z. (2010) Explorando teorías de estructura de capital en latinoamérica. *Cuadernos de Administración* [online] 23 (41). 163-184.
Disponble en: <http://www.scielo.org.co/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0120-35922010000200008&lng=es&nrm=iso>.
- Rivera; J. (2007). Estructura financiera y factores determinantes de la estructura de capital de las pymes del sector de confecciones del Valle del Cauca en el período 2000-2004. *Cuad. Adm.* 20(34). 191-219 . Available from: <http://www.scielo.org.co/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0120-35922007000200009&lng=en&nrm=iso>. ISSN 0120-3592.
- Shanklin, S. B., Hunter, D. R., & Ehlen, C. R. (2011). A Retrospective View Of The IFRS' Conceptual Path And Treatment Of Fair Value Measurements. In *Financial Reporting. Journal Of Business & Economics Research*, 9(3), 23-28.
- Sanchez, J. (2010). La tasa de descuento en países emergentes aplicación al caso colombiano. Available: http://www.sci.unal.edu.co/scielo.php?script=sci_issuetoc&pid=0120-816020100002&lng=es&nrm=iso
- SVS, (2006). Informa e Imparte medidas sobre proceso de convergencia de principios contables generalmente aceptados en Chile a Normas Internacionales de Contabilidad y Normas Internacionales de Información Financiera. *Oficio Circular* 368.
- Van Horne, J. y Wachowicz, J. (2010). *Fundamentos de Administración Financiera*. México: Pearson Educación de México.
- Vélez-Pareja, I., & Alberto Magni, C. (2009). Potential dividends and actual cash flows in equity valuation. A critical analysis. *Estudios Gerenciales*, 25(113), 123-150.
- Walker, E. (2003). Costo de Capital para empresas reguladas en Chile. Available in: [http://www.google.cl/#output=search&client=psy-ab&q=Walker%2C+E.\(2003\).+Costo+de+Capital+para+empresas+reguladas+en+Chile.+&oq=Walker%2C+E.\(2003\).+Costo+de+Capital+para+empresas+reguladas+en+Chile.+&gs_l=hp.12...2667.2667.0.5623.1.1.0.0.0.456.456.4-1.1.0...0...1c.2.19.psy-ab.tXZvWEYNcDA&pbx=1&bav=on.2.or.r_qf.&bvm=bv.48705608,d.aWc&fp=473b6e7b7b151e42&biw=1171&bih=620](http://www.google.cl/#output=search&client=psy-ab&q=Walker%2C+E.(2003).+Costo+de+Capital+para+empresas+reguladas+en+Chile.+&oq=Walker%2C+E.(2003).+Costo+de+Capital+para+empresas+reguladas+en+Chile.+&gs_l=hp.12...2667.2667.0.5623.1.1.0.0.0.456.456.4-1.1.0...0...1c.2.19.psy-ab.tXZvWEYNcDA&pbx=1&bav=on.2.or.r_qf.&bvm=bv.48705608,d.aWc&fp=473b6e7b7b151e42&biw=1171&bih=620)
- Zuñiga-Jara, S.; Soria, K. y Sjoberg, O. (2011) Costo del capital y evaluación de proyectos en Latinoamérica: una clarificación. *Innovar* 21(41), 39-50.